

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/664,198	MALENFANT ET AL.
	Examiner George L. Walton	Art Unit 3753

All Participants:

Status of Application: 2nd Action Allowance

(1) Mr. Todd M. Oberdick - attorney.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. George L. Walton - examiner.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 December 2004

Time: 3:00 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Borges, Scott, Tischler et al (3,126,907) and Techler (2,904,062)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In view of the above interview, changes were proposed and made to the above discussed claim 1 to more clearly define the claimed invention and prevail over the above prior art. Such changes properly place this application in condition for allowance. Details of the changes can be seen in the accompanying "Examiner's Amendment" ..